

FOR MYSORE STATE

Public(RDP IX) (195 6.) Department.

T SERIES.


G.O. No. 1198.

Dated 2--7--1956.

• passed
J
Supdt.
By
24.7.56.

[Despatch Abstract,]

Mayya (Sri) A.V. - Ex-Gramasevak - Mangalore - Arrear cla
of leave salary - Investigation by Account-General -
Authorised.



AD 22222.

REVENUE (C.D.) A.C. - The Government - Bangalore -
 Income claim of 12-10 salary - Investigation
 by Accountant General - Authorized.

 P. L. C. (R.D.) DEPARTMENT.

C.O. 1198

dated 2-7-56

Order:-

From the Local Development Officer, Chikla, A.1007/53,
 dated 6-6-53 and 23-6-53,
 per the Assistant Development Commissioner, Chikla,
 A.1007/53 dated 1-3-54.

1953 /
 Mention is made to the investigation by the Accountant
 General, Chikla, of the income leave salary, due to Mr. A. V.
 .770, the Government of Bangalore for the month of June and
 July 1953 and also for the period from 3-3-1953 to 12-12-53
 and to pay it in full, if admissible.

2. The bills, one for Rs. 87-4-0 and another for Rs. 200-14-0
 are enclosed herewith.

(By order of the Governor.)

H. C. S. S. S.
 Assistant Secretary to Govt.

To
 The Accountant General through Finance (C.D.) S. S.
 The Assistant Development Commissioner, Chikla, and Madurai.
 The Local Development Officer, Chikla, North Madurai District.
 Copy to:-
 The Collector of South Madurai.
 The L.D. Accounts.


Forwarded by order.

AC
 12.06.56.

M. R. S. R. S.
 3-7-56

Ref. A.1027/53.

Block Development
Sullia.



From

Sri T.J. Bengera, B.A., B.L.,
Block Development Officer,
Sullia.

To

The Joint Development Commissioner,
Public Development Projects,
Fort St.

Pub (RDP II)

No. 75092 RDP II / 18-1

3.6.56.

Through,
The Asst. Development Commissioner,
Gandhipuram, Coimbatore. (in duplicate.)

75092

Pub (RDP II) Sullia

Sri A.V. Mayya. Ex. H.Q.G.S., R.W.O., Mangalore.
Arrear claim of salary-investigation by A.G.
Report submitted.

Ref: This Office Ref.A.1027/53. Dt. 6-4-1956.

6577-12 infra

I invite attention to my report cited with which I submitted an arrear pay bill for a sum of Rs. 87-9-0 being the leave salary for June 53, and July 53 due to the former G.O. of R.W.O., Mangalore, Sri A.V. Mayya. The bill was submitted for investigation by the A.G. Madras. The circumstances under which the arrear claim arose have been explained in my report.

The collector of South Kanara in his D. Dis. 11572/53 dt. 26-11-54 also ordered the payment of the salary due to Sri A.V. Mayya for the period between 3-9-53 to 15-12-53 (copy enclosed). An arrear bill for Rs. 269-14-0 being the salary for the above duty period is submitted herewith. I request that the A.G. Madras may be authorized to investigate the claim under Article 54 of M.F.C. in continuation of the claim already made. The required information is given below.

1. Period for which the claim relates: 3-9-53 to 15-12-53.
2. Period for which it should be investigated. -do-
3. Amount involved in the claim. 269-14-0
4. Reasons for delay in preferring claim. The matter is under protected correspondence. Please see my ref. A. 1027/53. Dt. 6-4-56
5. Grounds adduced for condonation of delay: As the matter is under protracted correspondence as some enquiry into recovery, if any, due from the G.O. has to be completed the arrear salary could not be drawn. The delay was inevitable. Hence, it may be condoned.
6. Whether the applicant was responsible for the delay, if so what his explanation is, etc. The applicant was not responsible for the delay. He had put in his claim in time.
7. Period and the amount for which the B.D.O. recommends payments of arrears. The arrear claim may be passed for the full amount for reasons explained above.

Sullia.
Block Development Officer,
Sullia.

Encl: Pay bill.

Collrs. d. dis. 11572/53. dt 26-11-54.

kvk/ 29-5-56.

D.D's. 11572/53.

S.K. Collector's Office,
Mangalore. Dated 26--11--1954..

From
Sri S. Vrishabha Das, M.A.B.L.
Personal Asst. to the Collector
in charge (Collector)

To
The Block Development Officer,
Sullia.

Sir,

ESTABLISHMENT - M.E.S. - Sri A.V. Mayya Grama Sevak
Subramania - Since discharged - Pay etc. of the duty
period - Payment ordered.

Read: This office proceedings Ref. 14572/54 dated
26 --11--53.

The Block Dev. Officer, Sullia is requested to draw
and disburse to Sri A.V. Mayya pay and allowances due to him for
the duty period from 3--9-53 to 15-12-53 both days inclusive
immediately under intimation to this Office. His service roll
is enclosed.

(By Order)

Sd. x x x x x
Rec. Deputy Tahsildar.

Copy to Sri A.V. Mayya, C/o Sri A. Keshava Mayya,
Antady village, Buntwal Post.
E.R. 27.11.

/True Copy/

W. W. W.
W. W. W.
Block Development Officer.

Ref. A.1027/53.

Duplicate
(Same as p. Labore)
Block Development Office,
Sullia. Dt. 29-5-1956.

From

Sri T.J. Banger, B.A., B.L.,
Block Development Officer,
Sullia.

To

The Joint Dev. Commissioner,
Public R.D.P. Dept.
Fort St. George, Madras. 9.

Through,
The Asst. Development Commissioner,
Gandhipuram, Coimbatore. (in duplicate.)

Sir,

Sri A.V. Mayya. Ex. H.Q.G.S., R.W.O., Mangalore.
Arrear claim of salary-investigation by A.G.
Report submitted.

Ref: This Office Ref. A.1027/53. Dt. 6-4-1956.

I invite attention to my report cited with which I submitted an arrear pay bill for a sum of Rs. 87-9-0 being the leave salary for June 53, and July 53 due to the former G.S. of R.W.O., Mangalore, Sri A.V. Mayya. The bill was submitted for investigation by the A.G. Madras. The circumstance under which the arrear claim arose have been explained in my report.

2. The collector of South Kanara in his D.Dis. 11572/53 Dt. 26-11-54 also ordered the payment of the salary due to Sri A.V. Mayya for the period between 3-9-53 to 15-12-53 (copy enclosed). An arrear bill for Rs. 269-14-0 being the salary for the above duty period is submitted herewith. I request that the A.G. Madras may be authorized to investigate the claim under Article 54 of M.F.C. in continuation of the claim already made. The required information is given below.

1. Period for which the claim relates: 3-9-53 to 15-12-53,
2. Period for which it should be investigated. -do-
3. Amount involved in the claim. 269-14-0
4. Reasons for delay in preferring claim. The matter was under protected correspondence. Please see my ref. A. 1027/53. Dt. 6-4-56

5. Grounds adduced for condonation of delay:
As the matter was under protracted correspondence as some enquiry into recovery, if any, due from the G. has to be completed the arrear salary could not be drawn. The delay was inevitable. Hence, it may be condoned.

6. Whether the applicant was responsible for the delay, if so what his explanation is, etc.
The applicant was not responsible for the delay. He had put in his claim in time.

7. Period and the amount for which the B.D.O. recommends payments of arrears.

The arrear claim may be passed for the full amount for reasons explained above.

Block Development Officer,
Sullia.

Encl: Pay bill.
Collrs. d.dis. 11572/53. dt 26-11-54.

29-5-56.

D.Dis. 11572/53.

Same as b. 3 above
S.K. Collector's Office,
Mangalore. Dated 26--11--1954.

From
Sri S. Vrishabha Das, M.A.B.L.
Personal Asst. to the Collector
in charge (Collector)

To /
The Block Development Officer,
Sullia.

Sir,

ESTABLISHMENT - N.E.S. - Sri A.V. Mayya Grema Sevak
Subramania - Since discharged-Pay etc. of the duty
period-Payment ordered.

Read: This office proceedings Ref. 14572/54 dated
26 --11--53.

The Block Dev. Officer, Sullia is requested to draw
and disburse to Sri A.V. Mayya pay and allowances due to him for
the duty period from 2--9-53 to 15-12-53 both days inclusive
immediately under intimation to this Office. His service roll
is enclosed.

(By Order)

Sd. x x x x x
Rec. Deputy Tahsildar.

Copy to Sri A.V. Mayya, C/o Sri A. Keshava Mayya,
Antady village, Buntwal Post.
E.R. 27.11.

/True Copy/

bang
Block Development Officer,
Sullia.

BY REGD. POST.

From


To

Sri S.M. Sulaiman, B.Sc., (Agri),
Asst. Development Commissioner,
Gandhipuram, Coimbatore.

The Joint Development Commissioner,
Madras.

L.Dis. 1667/56

Dated 9.6.56.



Sir,

Sub:-- Mayya (Sri) A.V. - Ex.Gramasevak, Mangalore - Arrear claim - Leave salary- Investigation by the Accountant General - Requested.

Ref:-- Ref.A.1027/53 dated 6.4.56 of the Block Development Officer, Sullia.

I submit herewith the report of the Block Development Officer, Sullia cited along with a pay bill for Rs.87-9-0 and request that the Accountant General may kindly be requested to investigate into the claim and return the bill to the Block Development Officer, Sullia for encashment and disbursement.

authn

S. — . Sulaiman
10.6.56.
Asst. Development Commissioner,
Coimbatore.

Encls. -- (by R.P.)
— — (p.1-14)

From
Sri.M.Kunhi Ahmed, B.A.,
Block Development Officer
Incharge, Sullia.

To
The Joint Development Commissioner,
Public (RDP) Department,
Fort St.George, Madras - 9.

Through

The Assistant Development Commissioner,
Coimbatore.

Sir,

MAYYA (SRI) A.V.: Ex. Headquarter Gramasevak, Rural Welfare, Mangalore -- Arrear claim of - Leave salary - Investigation by Accountant General - Report submitted.

As a result of enquiry into several charges against him Sri.A.V. Mayya, the Grama Sevak, of former Rural Welfare Department, South Kanara, Mangalore was removed from service in Proceedings No.949.RDP.III/54-2 dated 11-10-54 of the Director of Rural Welfare (copy enclosed). He was ordered to be removed with effect from 16-12-53. When the matter was still under enquiry, his salary for the period from 1-6-53 to 2-9-53 had been withheld. Subsequently, this period was treated as leave as follows in South Kanara Collector's Ref.B7.14572/53 dt. 26-11-54. 1-6-53 - 4-6-53 - earned leave . 5-6-53 - 7-6-53 - Extraordinary leave (without allowances) 8-6-53 - 6-7-53 - Earned leave. 7-7-53 - 2-9-53, - Extraordinary leave. The leave salary ~~xxxxxx~~ was ordered to be disbursed to the Grama Sevak.

2.The leave salary of the Grama Sevak could not be drawn immediately as some information regarding recovery if any of certain dues from the Grama Sevak had to be ascertained. The matter was under protracted correspondence with the Block Development Officer, Manjeshwar and the Collector of South Kanara for a pretty long time. It is only after obtaining the necessary information, action has now been taken to claim the arrears of leave salary due to the Ex. Grama Sevak.

3.I submit herewith an arrears claim bill for a sum of Rs.87-9-0. I request that the Accountant General, Madras may be authorised to investigate the claim under article 54 of M.F.C. The required information is furnished below:--

1. Period to which the arrear claim relates 1-6-53 - 4-6-53 and 8-6-53 - 6-7-53.

12

2. Period for which it should investigated. For the same period.

3. Amount involved in the claim.... 87-9-0 . . .

4. Reasons for delay in preferring claim. Please see paras 1 and 2 above.

5. Grounds adduced for condonation of delay As the matter was under correspondence as some enquiry into recovery, if any due from the Grama Sevak had to be completed, the leave salary could not be drawn. The delay was inevitable. Hence it may be condoned.

7. Whether the applicant was responsible for the delay if so what his explanation is, It should also be stated whether he claimed or made any representations regarding payment of leave salary due to him. 0 The applicant was not responsible for the delay 0 Yes, he had put in a claim 0

8. Period and amount for which the Block Development Officer recommends the payment of the arrears. 0 The arrears claim may be passed for the full amount for the reasons already explained above.

1/9 *[Signature]*
Block Development Officer
Incharge, Sullia.

Encl:

1. Pay bill.
1. Copy of Progs. No. 949-RDP.III/54-54.2 dt. 11-10-54 of the Director of Rural Welfare, Madras.
3. Copy of Collector's Ref.B7. 14572/53 dt. 26-11-54.

[Signature]
4/11/54

From
Sri.M.Kunhi Ahmed, B.A.,
Block Development Officer
Incharge, Sullia.

To
The Joint Development Commissioner,
Public (RDP) Department,
Fort St.Georg, Madras - 9.

Through

The Assistant Development Commissioner,
Coimbatore..

Sir,

MAYYA (SRI) A.V.: Ex. Headquarter Gramasevak, Rural
Welfare, Mangalore -- Arrear claim of - Leave
salary - Investigation by Accountant General - Report
submitted.

As a result of enquiry into several charges against him, Sri.A.V. Mayya, the Grama Sevak, of former Rural Welfare Department, South Kanara, Mangalore was removed from service in Proceedings No.949.RDP.III/54-2 dated 11-10-54 of the Director of Rural Welfare (copy enclosed). He was ordered to be removed with effect from 16-12-53. When the matter was still under enquiry, his salary for the period from 1-6-53 to 2-9-53 had been withheld. Subsequently, this period was treated as leave as follows in South Kanara Collector's Ref.B7.14572/53 dt. 26-11-54. 1-6-53 - 4-6-53 - earned leave. 5-6-53 - 7-6-53 - Extraordinary leave (without allowances.) 8-6-53 - 6-7-53 - Earned leave 7-7-53 - 2-9-53, - Extraordinary leave. The leave salary ~~xxxxxx~~ was ordered to be disbursed to the Grama Sevak.

2.The leave salary of the Grama Sevak could not be drawn immediately as some information regarding recovery if any of certain dues from the Grama Sevak had to be ascertained. The matter was under protracted correspondence with the Block Development Officer, Manjeshwar and the Collector of South Kanara for a pretty long time. It is only after obtaining the necessary information, action has now been taken to claim the arrears of leave salary due to the Ex. Grama Sevak.

3.I submit herewith an arrears claim bill for a sum of Rs.87-9-0. I request that the Accountant General, Madras may be authorised to investigate the claim under article 54 of M.F.C. The required information is furnished below:--

1. Period to which the arrear claim relates 1-6-53 - 4-6-53 and 8-6-53 - 6-7-53.

2. Period for which it should
investigated. For the same period.

3. Amount involved in the claim ... 87-9-0

4. Reasons for delay in preferring
claim. Please see paras 1 and 2
above.

5. Grounds adduced for condonation
of delay

As the matter was under
correspondence as some
enquiry into recovery, if any
due from the Grama Sevak
had to be completed, the
leave salary could not be
drawn. The delay was
inevitable. Hence it may be
condoned.

7. Whether the applicant was
responsible for the delay
if so what his explanation
is, It should also be stated
whether he claimed or made any
representations regarding pay-
ment of leave salary due to him.

0 The applicant was not
0 responsible for the delay
0
0 Yes, he had put in
0 a claim
0

8. Period and amount for which the
Block Development Officer
recommends the payment of the
arrears.

0 The arrears claim
0 may be passed for
0 the full amount for the
reasons already explained
above.

2/9 *[Signature]*
Block Development Officer
Incharge, Sullia.

Encl:

1. Pay bill.
1. Copy of Progs. No. 949 RDP.III/54-54.2
dt. 11-10-54 of the Director of Rural Welfare, Madras.
3. Copy of Collector's Ref.B7. 14572/53 dt. 26-11-54.

[Signature]
cc. usg

✓
Copy of proceedings No.949 R.D.P.111/54-2 dated 11-10-54
of the Director of Rural Welfare, Public (RDP) Department,
Fort St. George, Madras. (15)

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT: Temporary - National Extension Service -
South Kanara District - Sri.A.V.Mayya, Gramasevak, -
Temporary misappropriation of Government money - Negligence
of duty and absence without permission charges - final
orders passed.

Ref: 1) Memoranda of the Collector of South Kanara No.
B-7-14572/53 dated 15-2-1953 and 6-9-1953.
2) From Sri.A.V. Mayya, Gramasevak, dated 26-9-1953
3) from the Collector of South Kanara No.B-7-14572 d/25 -11-53
4) Memo of the Director of Rural Welfare No.949 DII/54-1
dt. 1-2-1954.

In his two memoranda first cited, the Collector of
South Kanara framed two sets of charges against Sri.A.V.Mayya,
Gramasevak, for temporary misappropriation of Government money,
absence from duty without orders and gross neglect of his duties.
The Gramasevak submitted his explanation on 26-9-53. The memo-
randa of charges and the explanation of the gramasevak were for-
warded to me by the Collector of South Kanara with his letter
first cited. After a careful examination of the case, I came to
the provisional conclusion that the gramasevak should be removed
from service. The gramasevak was therefore directed in the memo.
fourth cited to show cause within 3 weeks from the date of receipt
of the memorandum why he should not be removed from service and
he was also informed that if his explanation was not received with-
in that period, it would be assumed that he has no explanation
to offer and that orders would be passed on the merits of the case.

2. Sri.A.V.Mayya, Gramasevak, acknowledged receipt of
the memorandum on 19-2-54. The three-weeks time given to him
expired on 12-3-54, but he has not so far submitted his explanation.
The Gramasevak was continuously absent from duty from 16-12-53.
He has complicated the issue, by sending his resignation. The
resignation letter is dated 25-1-54, but it was actually received
by the Block Development Officer on 17-2-54. As grave charges
have been pending against him, I do not accept his resignation.
The ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ gramasevak had been given an opportunity
to show cause against the punishment of removal from service.
The memorandum was actually acknowledged by him on 19-2-54
after he had sent in his resignation. Till his resignation is
accepted, the responsibility for answering the charges rests with
him. As he has not availed of the opportunity to show cause against
removal from service, I assume that he has no explanation to offer
and the charges are taken as proved. I therefore confirm my
provisional finding and direct that Sri.A.V. Mayya, Gramasevak,
Sullia Block, South Kanara District be removed from service with
effect from 16-12-53, the date from which he was absent from duty.

(Sd) x x x
Director of Rural Welfare

To Sri.A.V.Mayya, Gramasevak, through the Collector of S.Kanara
(in duplicate -- one copy to be served and the other
copy to be returned with acknowledgement).

(True copy)

[Signature]
Block Dev. Officer
Incharge, Sullia.

[Signature]
cc: files

(Same as 15 amh)

Copy of proceedings No.949 R.D.P.III/54-2 dated 11-10-54
of the Director of Rural Welfare, Public (RDP) Department,
Fort St. George, Madras. (17)

Sub: ESTABLISHMENT: Temporary - National Extension Service -
South Kanara District - Sri.A.V.Mayya, Gramasevak, -
Temporary misappropriation of Government money - Negligence
of duty and absence without permission charges - final
orders passed.

- noted*
noted
- 1) Memoranda of the Collector of South Kanara No. ~~47~~
B-7-14572/53 dated 15-2-1953 and 6-9-1953.
2) From Sri.A.V. Mayya, Gramasevak, dated 26-9-1953
3) from the Collector of South Kanara No.B-7-14572 d/25 -12-53
4) Memo of the Director of Rural Welfare No.949 DII/54-1
dt. 1-2-1954.
-

In his two Memoranda first cited, the Collector of
South Kanara framed two sets of charges against Sri.A.V.Mayya,
Gramasevak, for temporary misappropriation of Government money,
absence from duty without orders and gross neglect of his duties.
The Gramasevak submitted his explanation on 26-9-53. The Memo
randa of charges and the explanation of the gramasevak were for-
warded to me by the Collector of South Kanara with his letter
third cited. After a careful examination of the case, I came to
the provisional conclusion that the gramsevak should be removed
from service. The gramasevak was therefore directed in the Memo.
fourth cited to show cause within 3 weeks from the date of receipt
of the memorandum why he should not be removed from service and
he was also informed that if his explanation was not received with-
in that period, it would be assumed that he has no explanation
to offer and that orders would be passed on the merits of the case.

2. Sri.A.V.Mayya, Gramasevak, acknowledged receipt of
the memorandum on 19-2-54. The three weeks time given to him
expired on 12-3-54, but he has not so far submitted his explanation.
The Gramasevak was continuously absent from duty from 16-12-53.
He has complicated the issue, by sending his resignation. The
resignation letter is dated 25-1-54, but it was actually received
by the Block Development Officer on 17-2-54. As grave charges
have been pending against him, I do not accept his resignation.
The ~~gramasevak~~ gramasevak had been given an opportunity
to show cause against the punishment of removal from service.
The memorandum was actually acknowledged by him on 19-2-54
after he had sent in his resignation. Till his resignation is
accepted, the responsibility for answering the charges rests with
him. As he has not availed of the opportunity to show cause against
removal from service, I assume that he has no explanation to offer
and the charges are taken as proved. I therefore confirm my
provisional finding and direct that Sri.A.V. Mayya, Gramasevak,
Sullia Block, South Kanara District be removed from service with
effect from 16-12-53, the date from which he was absent from duty.

(Sd) x x x
Director of Rural Welfare

To Sri.A.V.Mayya, Gramasevak, through the Collectr of S.Kana a
(in duplicate -- One copy to be served and the other
copy to be returned with acknowledgement).

(True copy)

[Signature]
Block Dev. Officer
Incharge, Sullia.

Copy of proceedings Ref.B7.14572/53 dated 26-11-54 of the
Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore.

ESTABLISHMENT: N.E.S. -- Sri. A.V.Mayya - Grama Sevak, Subramania, *g.m.*
Leave -- Applications - orders passed.

- Read: 1. Application dated 8-6-53 from Sri.A.V.Mayya, Head quarters
Grama Sevak, Mangalore.
2. N.Dis.765-53 dated 11-6-53 from the Rural Welfare Officer
Mangalore.
3. This Office Ref.B7.14572/53 dt. 27-6-53, 15-7-53,
2-9-53, 6-9-53.
4. Letter C.R.No. 296/53, dt. 17-7-53 and C.No.9783/53
dt. 14-9-53 from the District Medical Officer, S.K and
5. Proceedings No.949RDP.III 54-2 dt. 11-10-54 from the
Director of Rural Welfare, Madras.

Sri.A.V.Mayya, Grama Sevak, Subramania (now discharged from
service) is granted leave as follows:--

Date.	Nature of leave granted.
1. 1-6-53 to 4-6-53 (4 days)	Earned leave.
2. 5-6-53 to 7-6-53 (3 days)	Extraordinary leave (with allowances)
3. 8-6-53 to 6-7-53 (29 days)	Earned leave.
4. 7-7-53 to 2-9-53	Extraordinary leave (without allowances.

2. The Block Dev. Officer, Sullia is requested to draw and
disburse to Sri.A.V.Mayya leave salary in respect of the period
covered by item Nos.(1) and (3) under intimation to this office.

(By Order)

(sd) x x x x
Reception Dy.Tahsildar.

(True copy)

579
Block Development Officer
Incharge, Sullia.

21

8.6.1.9 y

83485

Dated 2.7.56.

26 JUN 1956

2 6421-24 of
9 (N)

Copy to

18656

✓
21-6-56

S. Kanara

18650

✓
21.6.53

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
22 JUN 1956
57445
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

$$\begin{array}{r} 75092 \quad 12 \overline{) 561} \end{array}$$

70.6.0.57445/cn

$$25-6.56.$$

May be pressed and returned to -
 Pub. (P.D.P.). The ~~assessment~~ indicated
 in pencil may be made if, as is
 presumed, the claim is well made
 & in full 2002
 2002 Pub. (P.D.P.)

22
/

22

Pub RSPia


70000/56

The notes of Finance
in p: 1 ante may be read. The
draft order ~~overleaf~~ may
now issued as amended by
Finance.

1888.

11
28656
129.180

6
1/7/56.



THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
Madras.

To

The Block Development Officer,
Sullia, Puthur Taluk,
South Kanara District.

No. DA.VIII.CP.I.109

Station.

Date.

Sir,

13 AUG 1956

Sub: MAYYA (SRI) A.V. - Ex. Grama Sevak- Mangalore - Arrear
Claim of leave Salary- investigation of- regarding.
Ref: G.O.Rt.No.1198 Publice (RDP-IX) Department dt.2-7-56. (M)

10/871

With reference to the above, the service book of the individual may please be sent for necessary verification and return. It is not clear how earned leave from 8-6-53 to 6-7-53 in between periods of extraordinary leave has been granted. Please clarify.

In this connection, copies of sanctions for the various spells of leave involved in the claim in question, together with a certificate of note of the claim in the Office copies of the bills may also be sent.

3. The supplemental bill is returned herewith for necessary action and return.

Yours faithfully,

sd/-

Assistant Accounts Officer.

skk.108

DA.VIII.CP.COMP.I.110

13 AUG 1956


Copy forwarded to the Asst. Secretary to Government, Public (RDP-IX) Department.

Only one bill for Rs.87-9-0 has been received along with G.O. read above. The office bill for Rs.269-14-0 stated to have been enclosed has not been received.

vide p. 23/ (M)

H. S. Sundaram
ASSISTANT ACCOUNTS OFFICER.

20/8/56



Summary
1-9-56

Memo. No 101071 RDP 12/56.

Sub: Mayya (Sri), A.V. Ex-G.S.,
Mangalore - Arrear claim
of leave salary - Investigation
of - Bill for Rs. 269-14-0.

P 14. (M)

Read: No. M. 1148 Pub RDP d. 27.56
From the A.C. Madras, VIII of P. I
109 dated 13.8.56 addressed
to the BDO, Sullia, S. Kanara St
and copied to the Asst Secy. Pub. Rs

cf

The bill for Rs.
269-14-0 is sent
enclosed herewith to
the A.C.

1/8/56

R
30857
30858

TO THE A.C., Madras (W)
Copy to the BDO Sullia

Original 2023 cf (M)

ON:

The draft above is
w.r. to the best copy of
letter from the A.C. as
for 1 of After issue, this may be

R
30857
30858

1/8/56